

# e-HLbc

## e-Resources Stakeholder Survey Report

### Background

A stakeholder survey was developed by the e-Resources Task Group, part of the e-HLbc Working Group, during the summer of 2003. The purpose of the survey was to gather baseline information about the stakeholder community and to determine options for a preferred set of e-resources that could serve as a basis to move forward with more detailed planning for provincial group licensing arrangements.

The e-Resources Task Group was comprised of the following members:

- Sue Abzinger, Fraser Health Authority Libraries
- Paul Anderson, Provincial Health Services Authority
- Gwen Bird, Simon Fraser University Library
- Anita Cocchia, Electronic Library Network
- Sandra Morris, BC Academic Health Council
- Brian Owen, University of British Columbia Library.

### Process

The survey was conducted with the technical support and assistance of David Kisly at the BC Electronic Library Network, using a web-enabled survey tool during the period August 25 to October 10, 2003.

A total of 81 agencies were surveyed (see Appendix A for a full list), broken down into the following categories:

- 28 post-secondary education institutions
- 13 health authorities / health agencies
- 37 professional associations
- 3 “other” organizations / interested parties.

Of the 81 agencies surveyed, a total of 47 responses (58.0%) were received by the October 10, 2003 deadline, following a number of reminder contacts to encourage broad-based participation, and ultimately, “buy-in” for the final set of e-resources that will be recommended for purchase.

Overall results of the survey are summarized in Appendix B.

### Observations From The Survey

- From the list of potential e-resources that could be considered for a group licensing arrangement, it is clear that three clusters have emerged on the basis of high, medium, and low-interest for subscription. The “high-interest cluster” is presented on the following page, but for reasons outlined below, the items are listed alphabetically and not in order of ranked preference by the survey respondents. Also included is data about the number of respondents who currently purchase these items, which tends to substantiate their desirability as commonly utilized e-resources.

## Highly Ranked Resources Group (Listed Alphabetically)

TITLE & DETAILS	CURRENTLY LICENSED BY RESPONDENTS
<b>Blackwell online journals</b>	Currently licensed title 1
<b>CINAHL</b>	Currently licensed title 25
<b>EBM (Evidence Based Medical) Reviews (4 databases)</b>	Currently licensed title 8
<b>Elsevier/Academic Press online journals</b>	Currently licensed title 5
<b>MDConsult</b>	Currently licensed title 6
<b>MEDLINE</b>	Currently licensed title 17
<b>OVID Journals</b>	Currently licensed title 5
<b>PsycARTICLES</b>	Currently licensed title 7
<b>PsycINFO</b>	Currently licensed title 22

- Based on the survey results, it is clear that respondents prefer full text e-resources over other types of resources that might be considered for licensure, e.g., index/abstracts databases.
- In addition to relying on the stakeholder survey results to select and negotiate licensing agreements for a core set of preferred e-resources, consideration must also be given to issues such as technical support, technical infrastructure needs, training requirements, vendor reputation and viability as a going concern, and cost.
- A decision will have to be made regarding reliance on free access to PubMed versus inclusion of purchased access to Medline resources in a group licensing arrangement. While popular in some settings, the purchase of a Medline license would limit the number of other e-resources that could be obtained using existing or even minimally enhanced funding.

- A small number of agencies (primarily the larger post-secondary education institutions, but possibly others who did not disclose their approach to the survey) did not include in their list of ranked preferences any items that they currently purchase through existing multi-party, consortial agreements. The reasons given for this approach were that either the existing agreements were binding, multi-year arrangements and/or that the viability of some of these inter-provincial consortial arrangements (e.g., COPPUL) might be jeopardized if individual members began to opt out of purchasing agreements in favour of participation in the e-HLbc initiative. It is possible that this issue could be addressed during negotiations with vendors, but to do so will require specific attention by players familiar with the various consortial purchasing agreements already in place.
- Despite a last follow up contact in early October, there was not a high level of response to the stakeholder survey by the professional associations. This may be indicative of the fact that these organizations do not operate any kind of library or resource centre for their members, or that they simply have no interest in participating in the e-HLbc initiative.
- There were some duplicate responses to the stakeholder survey from organizations that either have decentralized library services or branch libraries that span both academic and healthcare environments. For example, UBC Library submitted responses that reflect both the needs of the university library, as well as its branch libraries at Children & Women's Health Centre, Vancouver Hospital and Providence Health Care (the Council of University Teaching Hospitals [COUTH] libraries). Similarly, there were a number of responses received from the various decentralized libraries of the Vancouver Coastal Health Authority that may have resulted in some double counting of users. However, in terms of identifying a preferred set of e-resources, the additional responses may be viewed as a positive result, in that they provide a larger sample of opinion.
- The results of the questions regarding annual expenditures on e-resources and FTE/user estimates are very loose and must be interpreted with caution. A decision was made early in the design of the stakeholder survey not to ask for specific budget figures for e-library resource purchases, so as not to convey to potential respondents in any sense that a "resource grab" was in the offing. The downside of this approach is that much more detailed and precise data collection and analysis about actual budget expenditures must still be undertaken. The FTE/user estimates are somewhat more useful than the expenditure data collected. However, these too are subject to double or triple counting as library users may have multiple affiliations across the spectrum of academia, health care agencies and the professional associations. Consequently, more precise data collection strategies to determine the actual number of e-library resource users must be developed.
- The procurement process for licensing a core set of e-resources will be both complex and challenging. In the interests of maintaining the strongest possible negotiating position, it is important that vendors not be advised by the stakeholder community about the precise ranking of the "most preferred" e-resource products, or about the total budget that will be committed for group licensing of e-resources. For this reason, the summary list provided above, and the overall results of the stakeholder survey have been listed within their high, medium and low-interest categories in alphabetical order rather than by rank within each category. Additional information, as it becomes available, should also be disseminated with caution. Furthermore, all members of the e-HLbc working group (and the larger stakeholder community) are strongly encouraged to maintain confidentiality regarding the precise order of preference and ultimate budget for the selection and licensing of e-resources.

## **Next Step Recommendations**

1. Move forward on the selection of e-resources with an emphasis on licensing full-text products wherever possible.
2. Obtain a firm commitment from stakeholders who wish to participate in the e-*HLbc* initiative and then proceed to gather more detailed information regarding FTEs/users and annual budget expenditures as they relate to the e-*HLbc* core set of preferred e-resources. Information should also be collected about annual budget expenditures for any e-resources that would no longer be purchased by participating agencies in favour of the e-*HLbc* core package, so that funds could be reallocated to support the initiative.
3. Develop a detailed procurement strategy that addresses who should be involved, how the process should unfold, and the resources required to ensure success.
4. Utilize the stakeholder survey results as one component to finalize the selection of a core set of preferred e-resources. Other components to consider should include: technical requirements, vendor support, vendor reputation and business viability and cost.
5. Determine the legal entity and/or the approach that will be used to execute a provincial licensing agreement(s) on behalf of the participating stakeholder(s). This item may best be considered by the Governance Task Group, but attention should be paid to both an interim arrangement to address the first year or two of a group licensing arrangement, as well as a long term strategy to ensure sustainability of the initiative.

Respectfully submitted,

THE e-RESOURCES TASK GROUP

October 28, 2003

# **Appendix A**

## **List of Stakeholders**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Organization</b>
Anne Allgaier	Hospital Librarian	Prince George Regional Hospital Northern Health Authority
Beth Morrison	Acting Head, Library Cancer Information Centre	BC Cancer Agency Provincial Health Services Authority
Cliff Cornish	Regional Manager, Library Services	Vancouver Island Health Authority
Greg Rowell	Manager, Library Services	Riverview Hospital Provincial Health Services Authority
Peter Rose	Manager & Head Librarian	Health & Human Services Library Ministry of Health Planning / Services & Ministry of Children & Families
Sue Abzinger	Coordinator, Library Services	Fraser Health Authority
Joan Barton	Director, Legislative Library	Legislative Library of BC
Dawn Morgan	Resource Centre Coordinator	Forensic Psychiatric Services Commission
Ruth Rochlin	Hospital Librarian	Kelowna General Hospital Interior Health Authority
Eva Veres	Hospital Librarian	BC Children's Hospital & Sunny Hill Health Centre for Children
Cathy Raymant	Hospital Librarian	UBC Hamber Library Branch at BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre
Karen MacDonnell / Judy Neill	Co-Managers, College Library	<a href="#">Medical Library Service,</a> <a href="#">College of Physicians &amp; Surgeons of BC</a>
Rick Cuttell	Executive Director	<a href="#">BC College of Family Physicians</a>
Joan Andrews	Library Manager	<a href="#">Registered Nurses Association of BC / College of Registered Psychiatric Nurses of BC</a>
Dr. Myrna Halpenny	President	<a href="#">College of Dental Surgeons of BC</a>
	Receptionist	<a href="#">College of Dental Technicians of BC</a>
John Mayr	Registrar	<a href="#">College of Denturists of BC</a>
Susan Paul	Practice Advisor/Registrar	<a href="#">College of Physical Therapists of BC</a>
Dr. Andrea Kowaz	Registrar	<a href="#">College of Psychologists of BC</a>
<b>Christine Louie</b>	<b>Receptionist</b>	<a href="#">College of Pharmacists of BC</a>
Kathy Corbett	Registrar	<a href="#">College of Occupational Therapists of BC</a>
Linda Corbin	Executive Director	<a href="#">BC Association of Social Workers</a>
Angelica Gordon	Information Technology Resources	<a href="#">College of Licensed Practical Nurses of BC</a>
Mary Blackwell	Registrar	<a href="#">BC Dietitians &amp; Nutritionists Association</a>
Joanne Harrison	Director, Public Relations	BC Therapeutic Recreation Association
<b>Marie Crowther</b>	<b>Director of Certification</b>	<a href="#">BC College of Teachers</a>
<b>Nancy Yip</b>	<b>Administrative Assistant</b>	<a href="#">College-Institute Educators Association of BC</a>
Nancy Harwood	Registrar	<a href="#">College of Dental Hygienists of BC</a>
Doug McRae	Registrar	<a href="#">College of Massage Therapists of BC</a>
Danie	Receptionist	<a href="#">College of Midwives of BC</a>
Dr. Lorne Swetlikoff	President	<a href="#">College of Naturopathic Physicians of BC</a>
Giselle	Receptionist	<a href="#">College of Opticians of BC</a>

<b>Name</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Organization</b>
Don Nixdorf	Executive Director	<a href="#">BC College of Chiropractors</a>
Greg Olson	President	<a href="#">BC Association for Marriage &amp; Family Therapy</a>
	Receptionist	<a href="#">BC Association For Play Therapy</a>
		<b>BC Association of Geriatric Care Physicians</b>
Camilo Molina	President	<a href="#">BC Association of Kinesiologists</a>
Susan Hansen	Registrar	<a href="#">BC Association of Medical Radiation Technologists</a>
	Receptionist	<a href="#">BC Association of Optometrists</a>
Patricia Evans	Executive Director	<b>BC Association of Podiatrists</b>
Maria	Receptionist	<b>BC Association of Private Care</b>
Tony Intas	Executive Director	<a href="#">BC Association of Speech-Language Pathologists &amp; Audiologists</a>
Estrid	Receptionist	<b>Physiotherapy Association of BC</b>
	Receptionist	<b>BC Society of Occupational Therapists</b>
Marjory Jardine	Librarian	Vancouver Coastal Health Authority
Pat Young	Librarian	Vancouver Coastal Health Authority
Pat Boileau	Librarian	Vancouver Hospital
Shannon Long	Librarian	Richmond Health Services
Barb Trip	Librarian	Vancouver Hospital
Linda Peritz	Librarian	Vancouver Hospital
Barbara Saint	Librarian	Providence Health Care (UBC Library)
Helena Lamb	Providence Contact	Providence Health Care
Pat Semeniuk	Professional Practice Leader	Vancouver Coastal Health Authority
<u>Brigitte Peter-Cherneff</u>	Institute Librarian	British Columbia Institute of Technology
Connie Fitzpatrick	Head Librarian	British Columbia Open University/Open College
Richard Baer	Librarian – Technical Services	Camosun College
<u>Annette Lorek</u> George Modenesi	Directors	Capilano College
Kathy Plett	Library Director	College of New Caledonia
Barbara Janzen	Library Coordinator	College of the Rockies
Carole Compton-Smith	Director of Learning Resources	Douglas College
Sheila Wallace	Librarian	Emily Carr Institute of Art and Design
Kim Lawson	Librarian	Institute of Indigenous Government/Union of BC Indian Chiefs
April Haddad	Institute Librarian	Justice Institute of British Columbia
<u>Cathy MacDonald</u>	Dean of College Resources	Kwantlen University College
John Burgess	Dept. Chair, Public Services	Langara College
Gwen Bailey	Librarian	Malaspina University-College
Jim Bruce	College Librarian	Nicola Valley Institute of Technology
Mary Anne Guenther	Library Director	North Island College
Janet Beavers	Regional Librarian	Northern Lights College

<b>Name</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Organization</b>
Patti Barnes	Coordinator, Library	Northwest Community College
<u>Laura Neame</u>	Library Director	Okanagan University College
Dana McFarland	Librarian	Royal Roads University
Liz Ball	College Librarian	Selkirk College
Scott Mackenzie	Head, Document Delivery Service	Simon Fraser University
Ted Goshulak	Acting Director of Libraries	Trinity Western University
Nancy Levesque	Director, Library & Information Systems	University College of the Cariboo
Art Knight	Public Services Librarian	University College of the Fraser Valley
Patrick Dunn	ILL/Stacks Librarian	University of British Columbia
Charles Hogg	Electronic Services Librarian	University of Northern British Columbia
<u>Lynne Cargill</u>	Library Technical Services	University of Victoria
Lila Heilbrunn	Director of Library and Media Services	Vancouver Community College
		Massage Therapists Association of BC
		West Coast College of Massage Therapy
		West Coast College of Massage Therapy (Victoria)
		Okanagan Valley College of Massage Therapy
Ken Ryan-Lloyd	Audit Resources Specialist	Auditor General, Province of British Columbia 8 Bastion Square, Victoria, B.C., V8V1X4, Canada

# **Appendix B**

## **Survey Results**

# BC Academic Health Council Electronic Health Library Stakeholders Survey

MAIN REPORT:

SECTION 1: General

Tell us about your institution:

-----  
What is the name of your organization?

RESPONSES:

1. AGPBC Auditor General of British Columbia
2. BCCA: PHSA B.C. Cancer Agency
3. BCCC B.C. College of Chiropractors
4. BCCH Family Resource Library and Sunny Hill Resource Library
5. BCDNA British Columbia Dietitians' and Nutritionists' Association
6. BCIT British Columbia Institute of Technology
7. BCOU/OC B.C. Open University
8. Camosun College
9. Capilano College
10. CDBC College of Denturists of British Columbia
11. CMTBC College of Massage Therapists of British Columbia
12. CNC College of New Caledonia
13. CPBC College of Psychologists of B.C.
14. CPSBC Library College of Physicians & Surgeons of BC
15. CPTBC College of Physical Therapists of BC
16. Douglas College
17. ECIAD Emily Carr Institute of Art + Design
18. FHA Fraser Health Authority
19. FPSC Forensic Psychiatric Hospital
20. HHSL: MHPS/MCF Health and Human Services Library
21. JIBC Justice Institute of B.C.
22. KGH Interior Health Authority, IHA Libraries
23. Kwantlen U-C Kwantlen university college library
24. Langara Langara College Library
25. LLBC British Columbia Legislative Library
26. Malaspina U-C Malaspina University-College
27. North Island College
28. Okanagan U-C Okanagan University College Library
29. PGRH Library, Northern Health Authority Prince George Regional Hospital 1475 Edmonton Street  
Prince George, BC V2M 1S2
30. RHSS Richmond Health Services
31. RNABC Registered Nurses Association of B.C.
32. Royal Roads Royal Roads University
33. RVH: PHSA Library Services Riverview Hospital
34. Selkirk Selkirk College Library
35. SFU Simon Fraser University
36. TWU Trinity Western University Norma Marion Alloway Library
37. UBC University of British Columbia Life Science Libraries
38. U-C Cariboo University College of the Cariboo
39. U-C Fraser Valley University College of the Fraser Valley Library
40. UNBC Geoffrey R. Weller Library University of Northern British Columbia
41. UVIC University of Victoria McPherson Library
42. VCC Vancouver Community College

43. VCHA Vancouver Coastal Health Authority
44. VCHRI Vancouver Coastal Health Research Institute. Since VCHRI is not involved in providing library resources of any sort, I will answer the survey based on Vancouver Coastal Health Authority.
45. VHHS\_PF Vancouver General Hospital
46. VIHA Vancouver Island Health Authority
47. WBC Workers' Compensation Board of British Columbia

-----  
What is the primary function of your organization?

RESPONSES:

Health care : 10  
Post-Secondary Education : 23  
Professional Association : 3  
Research : 1  
Other (next question) : 10

-----  
If you listed "Other" in Question 0, please specify / describe:

RESPONSES:

1. AGPBC We are the auditor of government. We are the auditor of all of the programs of both the Ministry of Health and the Ministry of Health Services.
2. BCCC Regulatory College for B.C. doctors of chiropractic
3. CDBC Professional regulation
4. CMTBC Regulatory authority
5. CPBC Regulation of the profession of psychology in B.C.
6. CPTBC Professional Regulation
7. FPSC Forensic Psychiatric Services (In-Patient and Out- Patient)
8. HHSL: MHPS/MCF Policy development and research
9. LLBC Parliamentary Library
10. VCHRI Answer #2 for VCHRI
11. WBC Compensation services to injured workers, occupational health and safety regulation; quasi-government agency.

-----  
What type of library services does your organization offer?

RESPONSES:

Resource Centre (or equivalent) : 7  
Stand-alone library : 6  
Stand-alone library with distribution capability to reach target audience anywhere in BC : 9  
Multi-branch library for a health authority or education institution : 13  
Other, please specify in next question : 12

-----  
If you listed "Other" in Question 0, please specify / describe:

RESPONSES:

1. AGPBC We have a one-person research capability. This person gathers clinical and health administrative literature to support our audits of the BC health sector.
2. BCCA: PHSA Multi-branch library for a specific institution (BCCA) with distribution capability to reach target audience anywhere in BC
3. BCCC The BCCC does not have this function. Practitioners elect data sources.
4. BCDNA We do not offer any library services.
5. BCOU/OC Our Library is a resource centre with the capability to distribute resources to students wherever they live
6. CDBC Basically none. We are a small office ( 2 people) with small registrant base (210). A voluntary membership professional association provides some limited "library" services.
7. CMTBC No library service offered...we have some information posted on our website
8. CPBC none at present. Possibility of some on-line resources is under consideration vis a vis ethical decision-making
9. CPTBC None
10. Douglas 2 full service libraries and ability to distribute to audience anywhere in BC
11. KGH Historically there have been 3 stand-alone libraries within the boundaries of the current health region. Recent initiatives have begun the process of collaboration between the 3 libraries and extension of services areas.
12. LLBC Information services for the Members of the British Columbia Legislature and their staffs

-----  
Does your organization currently purchase any type of health-related electronic library resources?

RESPONSES:

Yes : 38  
No : 9

-----  
If your organization does purchase health-related e-library resources, what types of resources do you purchase?

RESPONSES:

Index/abstracts :	28
Full text journals :	23
Electronic books :	15
Aggregated e-library resources (mix of index/abstracts, full text & e-book products) :	23
Drug databases :	7
Clinical decision support systems / Point of Care Tools :	0

-----  
Other, please specify: ..

RESPONSES:

1. BCCA: PHSA Note: Three product categories mentioned are NOT purchased as an "aggregate" at this time (i.e. not from a single vendor or license) Other: Cochrane Library; Natural Medicine Comprehensive Database; Endnote
2. RHSS On-line access to print journal subscriptions. No journals purchased "online only".
3. VCHA Electronic Tables of Contents via CISTI

---

What's the approximate amount your organization spends annually on health-related e-library resources (in Canadian dollars)?

RESPONSES:

\$ 0 — 5,000 :	15
\$ 5001 — 10,000 :	6
\$ 10,001— 25,000 :	10
\$ 25,001 — 50,000 :	6
\$ 50,001 — 75,000 :	4
\$ 75,001 — 100,000 :	1
\$100,001 — 250,000 :	1
\$250,001 — 500,000 :	2
\$500,001 — 1,000,000 :	0
> \$ 1,000,000 :	1

---

Approximately how many people do your health-related e-library resources serve? FTEs (Full Time Equivalents)

RESPONSES:

1. AGPBC	15
2. BCCA: PHSA	1450
3. BCCC	0
4. BCDNA	0
5. BCIT	11651
6. BCOU/OC	305
7. Camosun	7000
8. Capilano	5000
9. CDBC	2
10. CMTBC	0
11. CNC	2903
12. CPSBC	8500
13. Douglas	972
14. ECIAD	0
15. FHA	12000
16. FPSC	450
17. HHSL: MHPS/MCF	5000
18. JIBC	400
19. KGH	5000
20. Kwantlen U-C	200
21. Langara	6580
22. LLBC	500
23. Malaspina U-C	5640
24. North Island	40
25. Okanagan U-C	6500
26. PGRH	1300
27. RNABC	36000
28. Royal Roads	1500
29. Selkirk	3500
30. SFU	16000
31. TWU	75
32. UBC	10000
33. U-C Cariboo	5500

34. U-C Fraser Valley	5016
35. UVIC	13500
36. VCHRI	5000
37. VIHA	14000
38. WBC	2000

AVERAGE RESPONSE: 5092.07894736842

MIN RESPONSE: 0

MAX RESPONSE: 36000

-----  
 If an FTE count is not available, approximately how many people use your library per year?

RESPONSES:

1. BCCC	0
2. BCDNA	0
3. CMTBC	0
4. LLBC	500
5. RHSS	4000
6. RVH: PHSA	5000
7. UNBC	2618
8. VCHA	400

AVERAGE RESPONSE: 1564.75

MIN RESPONSE: 0

MAX RESPONSE: 5000

## BC Academic Health Council Electronic Health Library Stakeholders Survey

MAIN REPORT:  
SECTION 2: Ranking of resources

-----  
Included in this section is a list of possible titles of health-related electronic library resources that could be considered for a group licensing arrangement. Licensing of any of these titles would by no means prevent your organization from licensing additional resources to meet the needs of your client group.

In the list below, please:

- a) Add a check (in the salmon-coloured column) against each title that your organization currently licenses or has access to.
- b) Indicate your top 5 titles for inclusion in a core package of resources for a provincial group licensing arrangement. Setting aside technical concerns and cost issues, and leaving all other titles unranked ("N/R"), rank your selections from 1 (low priority) through 5 (high priority). **Please choose no more than 5 titles; no two titles may be ranked the same.**

### Highly Ranked Resources Group (Listed Alphabetically)

TITLE & DETAILS	CURRENTLY LICENSED
<b>Blackwell online journals</b>	Currently licensed title 1
<b>CINAHL</b>	Currently licensed title 25
<b>EBM (Evidence Based Medical) Reviews (4 databases)</b>	Currently licensed title 8
<b>Elsevier/Academic Press online journals</b>	Currently licensed title 5
<b>MDConsult</b>	Currently licensed title 6
<b>MEDLINE</b>	Currently licensed title 17
<b>OVID Journals</b>	Currently licensed title 5
<b>PsycARTICLES</b>	Currently licensed title 7
<b>PsycINFO</b>	Currently licensed title 22

## Medium Ranked Resources Group (Listed Alphabetically)

TITLE & DETAILS	CURRENTLY LICENSED
<b>BMJ online journals</b>	Currently licensed title 2
<b>Books@OVID</b>	Currently licensed title 3
<b>Clinical decision support “Point of Care” tools</b>	Currently licensed title 1
<b>Drug databases</b>	Currently licensed title 6
<b>Kluwer online journals</b>	Currently licensed title 3
<b>Stat!Ref</b>	Currently licensed title 1
<b>Wiley online journals</b>	Currently licensed title 5

## Low Ranked Resources Group (Listed Alphabetically)

TITLE & DETAILS	CURRENTLY LICENSED
<b>Clinical Evidence (BMJ)</b>	Currently licensed title 2
<b>EMBASE, 1980+</b>	Currently licensed title 3
<b>Thieme online journals</b>	Currently licensed title 1

-----  
 If you indicated in the list of titles above that your organization currently licenses MEDLINE, which platform/vendor do you use?

RESPONSES:

CSA :	1
Dialog :	1
EBSCO :	5
OCLC FirstSearch :	5
OVID :	7
ScienceDirect :	1
SilverPlatter :	1
Other, please specify (next question) :	6

Please add any other MEDLINE platforms/vendors not listed in **Question 0** that your institutions uses:

RESPONSES:

- |     |                       |  |
|-----|-----------------------|--|
| 1.  | <b>AGPBC</b>          | Cisti Source   |
| 2.  | <b>BCA: PHSA</b>      | PubMed   |
| 3.  | <b>Camosun</b>        | We use the free version - PubMed   |
| 4.  | <b>Capilano</b>       | NLM Gateway  |
| 5.  | <b>CPSBC</b>          | MDConsult  |
| 6.  | <b>FHA</b>            | PubMed   |
| 7.  | <b>HHSL: MHPS/MCF</b> | PubMed   |
| 8.  | <b>Okanagan U-C</b>   | PubMed   |
| 9.  | <b>RHSS</b>           | PubMed   |
| 10. | <b>Royal Roads</b>    | pubmed   |
| 11. | <b>UBC</b>            | WE ARE CURRENTLY CONSIDERING embase.com. THIS IS AN ELSEVIER PRODUCT THAT COMBINES BOTH MEDLINE AND EMBASE |
| 12. | <b>U-C Cariboo</b>    | (free) Pub Med   |
| 13. | <b>VCC</b>            | Pubmed   |

Based on what you know about this initiative, would your organization be interested in participating in further discussions about a shared licensing arrangement for health-related e-library resources?

ANSWER	RESPONSES
Yes :	36
No :	6

Do you have any comments or suggestions you'd like to offer regarding this initiative?

**RESPONSES:**

1. <b>BCCA: PHSA</b>	The negotiation of copyright understanding is very important to this initiative. For example, course packages for instruction, and ILL. Also, is it possible to have two separated options, one for the indices / databases, and the other for full-text journals, so that an organization could participate in one without the other?
2. <b>BCIT</b>	1. It would be useful to have more resources for the Allied Health disciplines : med lab, public health, nuclear medicine tech., radiology tech., etc. 2. It would be a real asset to have PDA resources specially the Drug Databases. 3.Resources must provide for IP filtered access both on and off campus. 4. For off campus access, the products must allow for the use of a proxy server for authentication. 5. Licensing should allow for unlimited access. Note : FTE information is total for all BCIT students. For the year 2002 there were 3,533 students registered in health sciences, 1,604 taking courses via traditional delivery, and 2,604 taking courses via distance education.
3. <b>Camosun</b>	We would participate through ELN
4. <b>Capilano</b>	We are especially interested in the topics of human kinetics and kinesiology, as well as sports medicine.
5. <b>CDBC</b>	Sorry, don't feel that we have much to offer.
6. <b>CNC</b>	re: 11, we use free Medline (PubMed etc.). Not sure what additional content a subscription would provide. re: 19, Clinical Pharmacology is part of Ebsco HealthSource package GENERAL: Ebsco Health Source (Alt, Consumer, Nursing) is not listed here but it is our most-used health source
7. <b>CPSBC</b>	We have selected MEDLINE as our 4th highest ranked resources an indicated OVID as the interface we use to access it. We want to convey the point that access to MEDLINE specifically through the OVID interface is our preference.
8. <b>ECIAD</b>	Emily Carr Institute is an art, media and design library so would not be adding health related info to our specialized collection
9. <b>FHA</b>	Looking at the list of people/organizations the survey went to, some organizations will have more than one survey responder, while others, equally large, will have only one reply. Will this possibly skew results?
10. <b>HHSL: MHPS/MCF</b>	We would also like to access Psychology and Social Work material
11. <b>Langara</b>	re 10: major users of health resources would be 800 FTE re 11: we use free version of PubMed re 13: we subscribe to several databases on the EBSCO platform
12. <b>RNABC</b>	Would have preferred to say "Don't Know" in Question #15, but that option wasn't given. Interested in seeing results of Needs Assessment and/or Business Plan to determine service gaps and possible cost-benefits to determine whether we can effectively participate. Thank you.
13. <b>Selkirk</b>	I would recommend the EBSCO suite of health databases (e.g., Health Source: Nursing Academic Edition). I was surprised that this wasn't listed as a choice above.
14. <b>SFU</b>	Our licenses for several of the products listed that we currently license are through existing consortial arrangements; we would not be willing to back out of these to join a new license.
15. <b>UBC</b>	THIS RESPONSE IS ONLY FOR THE LIBRARIES AT UBC. THE 3 HOSPITAL LIBRARIES ALSO SUPPORT HOSPITAL STAFF IN THE VANCOUVER COASTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY. THE COUTH HOSPITALS CURRENTLY HAVE AN AGREEMENT TO PURCHASE RESOURCES FROM THE UBC LIBRARY
16. <b>U-C Cariboo</b>	Thanks!
17. <b>UVIC</b>	Although not ranked in this survey we would be very interested in the BMJ online journals as well as the Blackwell online journals.
18. <b>VCC</b>	VCC is a community college. We have excellent health programs - but these are paraprofessional career programs. We have dental programs such as Dental Technician, Denturist, Dental Hygiene. Licenced Practical Nurse etc. and I feel that there is no product that is specifically aimed at these students Many of these resources appear to be focussed to the clinician. That is why I have selected full text that can be tailored to a specific user group - such as the Ovid products. I would not duplicated Medline unless there was any added value over PubMed as this is the interface most people would have access to in their practice. It is also very hard for me to rank many of these products - although I have been to the websites to review the content, I have not used the products. We do not select only for content but also for ease of use and for interface that may already be familiar. At a community College students don't stay in the institution for a long period - so it is unlike a university where skills can be built cumulatively. We need to aim for ease of use and also to the possibility of access in the long term. What is the point of having access to an information source only while you are at school when in reality, you need this access to ensure lifelong learning and excellence in practice. This criterion would also guide my selection - and this information is not available at present. In question 5 I can't give you FTE numbers but there are at least 1524 students registered in Health Programs at VCC
19. <b>VIHA</b>	I think the EBSCO full text nursing packages should have been in the list of choices. They would have been a 5 for me
20. <b>WBC</b>	Shared license access to ScienceDirect or similar resource would be great.